

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 ANDREW L. MEEKS,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 HAROLD WICKHAM, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:19-cv-01352-APG-BNW

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 35]

8 On September 1, 2022, Magistrate Judge Weksler recommended that I dismiss this case
9 because plaintiff Andrew Meeks has not updated his address with the court despite being ordered
10 to do so. ECF No. 35. Meeks did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo
11 review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to
12 “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to
13 which objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)
14 (en banc) (“the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations
15 de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Weksler’s report and recommendation
17 **(ECF No. 35) is accepted** and this case is dismissed without prejudice. The clerk of court is
18 instructed to close this case.

19 DATED this 21st day of September, 2022.

20 

21 ANDREW P. GORDON
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23